



# Guidance for achieving a risk proportionate approach to blinding statisticians within clinical trials

Version 1.1

28-Sep-2022





#### **Document History**

Version number	Details/purpose of change	Authors/edited by	Date
1.0	New guidance for blinding statisticians in clinical trials.	See <i>Document details</i> on the next page.	20-05-2022
1.1	Minor revisions following peer review. Changed 'statement' to 'considerations/ recommendations' throughout. Amended section heading 'Timing' to say 'Timing of unblinding'.	Mais Iflaifel Christopher Partlett	28-Sep-2022

#### **Document details**

#### Title:

Guidance for achieving a risk proportionate approach to blinding statisticians within clinical trials

#### **Authors:**

Mais Iflaifel<sup>1</sup>, Kirsty Sprange<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Bell<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Cook<sup>3</sup>, Carrol Gamble<sup>4</sup>, Steven Julious<sup>5</sup>, Edmund Juszczak<sup>1</sup>, Louise Linsell<sup>2</sup>, Alan Montgomery<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Partlett<sup>1</sup>

#### **Document purpose:**

The aim of this guidance is to provide for Clinical Trial Units (CTUs) points to consider (based on a risk proportionate approach) to blinding statisticians within clinical trials.

#### **Target audience:**

Researchers planning, designing, and conducting randomised trials

#### **Contact details:**

Christopher Partlett, PhD

Assistant Professor of Medical Statistics and Clinical Trials

chris.partlett@nottingham.ac.uk

Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Nottingham

Applied Health Research Building, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Liverpool Clinical Trials Centre, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

#### **Abbreviations**

**BOTS** Blinding of Trial Statistician

CI Chief Investigator

CTU Clinical Trials Unit

**DMC** Data Monitoring Committee

NCTU Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit

NS Non-blinded Statistician

SS Second Statistician

STS Senior Trial Statistician

TMG Trial Management Group

**TS** Trial Statistician

**TSC** Trial Steering Committee

**UKCRC** UK Clinical Research Collaboration

#### Contents

Introduction and rationale for the project	6
Aims of the project	7
Key definitions	7
Considerations and recommendations	9
Models for DMC interaction	17
Benefits, risks and mitigation strategies to blind or not blind statisticians	19

#### Introduction and rationale for the project

Historically, there has been limited empirical evidence to guide Clinical Trial Units (CTUs) and trial teams about the practice of blinding statisticians. A survey of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration (UKCRC) CTUs conducted in 2020 identified that there was considerable variation in practice when it came to blinding statisticians. Half the respondents mentioned that CTUs had a fixed approach to blinding statisticians rather than assessing the risk according to the trial circumstances.

CTUs can be broadly split into those that always blind the trial statistician (TS) and involve a second statistician for unblinded/disaggregate analyses, or those that maintain the blind until it is necessary to unblind the TS (e.g. for a Data Monitoring Committee (DMC) report). While there may be benefits to maintaining the blind of the TS, given the potential logistical and resource cost as well as other shortcomings of always blinding the statistician, it seems incongruent to apply this approach in all cases. This is particularly the case in open-label trials with subjective outcomes, where other risks of bias exist regardless of whether or not the trial statistician remains blinded.

While the current approaches are not based on empirical evidence, there are clearly benefits to developing an evidence-based risk proportionate approach for blinding statisticians in clinical trials. This inspired the Blinding of Trial Statisticians (BOTS) research team to develop guidance for CTUs on blinding the TS. BOTS employed a mixed methodology approach involving three parts:

- I) a quantitative study to assess the impact of blinding statisticians on the proportion of trials reporting a statistically significant finding,
- II) a qualitative study using focus groups to determine the perspectives of key stakeholders on blinding trial statisticians who work in the delivery and oversight of clinical trials,
- III) Combining the results of parts I and II to develop a first draft of the provisional guidance statements. A stakeholder meeting with a group of expert stakeholders including statisticians, methodologists, trial and data managers, CTU directors, and unit managers, as well as members of independent trial oversight committees and representatives from the NIHR and the MHRA, was held to discuss the provisional guidance statements and produce the final guidance for CTUs.

#### Aims of the project

The overall study aim is to provide recommendations on the practice of blinding statisticians. Objectives are:

- Compare reported outcomes between published studies with different blinding practices
- Understand current practice in academic CTUs, and reasons for these practices
- Understand stakeholder views on important risks and benefits to consider when deciding on blinding practice
- Produce guidance and a practical tool for CTUs to utilise a risk-based approach when considering blinding of statisticians

#### Key definitions

Blinding (of a statistician)No access to allocated groups (including coded) or any data that might potentially unblind (e.g., adherence or safety data).Interim analysisA formal between-group statistical analysis conducted prior to the final analysis.Interim DMCReports for the DMC containing trial data prior to the final analysis (this could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.Non-blindedA statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to accessStatistician (NS)Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)Access to coded treatment groups, but not labelled treatment groups.SecondResponsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).Senior TrialResponsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generallyStatistician (STS)handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.Trial Management Group (TMG)Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data cleaning, querying and analysis (usually under the supervision of an STS).		
Interim analysis  A formal between-group statistical analysis conducted prior to the final analysis.  Interim DMC  Reports for the DMC containing trial data prior to the final analysis (this could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.  Non-blinded  A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).  Open label study  Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Second  Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel—independent of the TS).  Senior Trial  Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management  Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Blinding (of a	No access to allocated groups (including coded) or any data that might
Interim DMC Reports for the DMC containing trial data prior to the final analysis (this could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.  Non-blinded A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).  Open label study Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Second Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG) Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	statistician)	potentially unblind (e.g., adherence or safety data).
Interim DMC Reports for the DMC containing trial data prior to the final analysis (this could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.  Non-blinded A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).  Open label study Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Second Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG) Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Interim analysis	A formal between-group statistical analysis conducted prior to the final
report  could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.  Non-blinded  A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).  Open label study  Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Second  Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).  Senior Trial  Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG)  Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data		analysis.
Non-blinded A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).  Open label study Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician) Second Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG) Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Interim DMC	Reports for the DMC containing trial data prior to the final analysis (this
Non-blinded A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access  Statistician (NS)  Open label study Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.  Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Second Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel –  Statistician (SS)  independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG) Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	report	could contain, for example, (i) summary aggregate data only (ii) summary
Statistician (NS)data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).Open label studyClinicians and participants are aware of allocation.Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)Access to coded treatment groups, but not labelled treatment groups.SecondResponsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).Senior TrialResponsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.Statistician (STS)Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.TrialResponsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data		disaggregate data, or (iii) formal interim analyses.
Open label studyClinicians and participants are aware of allocation.Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)Access to coded treatment groups, but not labelled treatment groups.SecondResponsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).Senior TrialResponsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.Statistician (STS)Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.TrialResponsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Non-blinded	A statistician (separate to the trial management group) that is able to access
Pseudo-blinding (of a statistician)  Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel – independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Group (TMG) Responsible for the day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Statistician (NS)	data by allocation (and other data that may unblind).
SecondResponsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel –Statistician (SS)independent of the TS).Senior TrialResponsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generallyStatistician (STS)handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.Trial ManagementResponsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary groupGroup (TMG)that typically involves at least one statistician.TrialResponsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Open label study	Clinicians and participants are aware of allocation.
Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel –  independent of the TS).  Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Pseudo-blinding	Access to coded treatment groups, but not labelled treatment groups.
Statistician (SS) independent of the TS).  Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	(of a statistician)	
Senior Trial Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Second	Responsible for validation of statistical analysis (e.g., coding in parallel –
Statistician (STS) handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.  Trial Management Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Statistician (SS)	independent of the TS).
Trial Management Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Senior Trial	Responsible for oversight of the statistical methods. Does not generally
Group (TMG) that typically involves at least one statistician.  Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Statistician (STS)	handle or have access to the raw data for the trial.
Trial Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data	Trial Management	Responsible for day-to-day management of the trial. Multidisciplinary group
	Group (TMG)	that typically involves at least one statistician.
Statistician (TS) cleaning, querying and analysis (usually under the supervision of an STS).	Trial	Responsible for the day-to-day statistical input into the trial. Conducts data
	Statistician (TS)	cleaning, querying and analysis (usually under the supervision of an STS).

#### Purpose and scope

The aim of this guidance is to provide points to consider for researchers at CTUs in pursuit of achieving a risk proportionate approach to blinding statisticians within clinical trials. It is intended to be applied in trials where there is reasonable uncertainty on the most appropriate approach to blinding statisticians. While this applies to most trials conducted in the setting of academic CTUs, there might be a limited number of examples where the application of this guidance is not appropriate due to the constraints defined by regulatory bodies, funders or sponsors. For instance, if the purpose of a trial is to apply for market authorisation, it might not be appropriate for the trial statistician to be unblinded at any stage prior to the final analysis.

#### Considerations and recommendations

### The decision to blind or not blind the statistician should be based on the benefits and risks associated with a particular trial.

Section 1: Timing of unblinding

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
1.1) If the trial statistician is responsible for	Finalising the analysis plan prior to unblinding
drafting or reviewing the statistical analysis	mitigates against the risk of the trial statistician
plan, they should remain blinded prior to the	introducing risk via their selection of analysis sets
statistical analysis plan being approved.	or analysis methodology.
1.2) If the trial statistician is to be unblinded	
prior to the final analysis, then approving the	Subsequent revisions to the analysis plan should
statistical analysis plan prior to unblinding	clearly document the changes, reasons for
mitigates against some of the risk of the trial	changes, and the timing of the changes in relation
statistician introducing bias.	to the unblinding of the trial statistician.
1.3) Blinding the trial statistician up until the	Blinding prior to the final database lock
final database lock <b>and</b> approval of the	theoretically prevents the trial statistician
statistical analysis plan effectively eliminates	introducing bias by their: selection of analysis
the risk that the trial statistician could	methodology, interactions with other members
introduce bias into the trial results.	of the trial team, and conduct of other
	roles/responsibilities (e.g. querying and cleaning
	data).
	One should also carefully consider the risks
	associated with prolonging the blind of the trial
	statistician (e.g., the resulting reduction in insight
	possessed by the trial statistician and the
	potential for less effective or ineffective oversight
	of the trial).

Section 2: Interaction with other groups

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
2.1) The trial statistician should remain	It might be possible that the trial statistician is
blinded if they could impact or influence data	able to indirectly influence data collection or
collection or recruitment.	recruitment via interaction with researchers
	working on the trial.
	Depending on its composition, this could happen
	via participation in or contribution to the
	discussions within the of Trial Management
	Group (TMG).
2.2) It is important to consider how the	Where resources permit, it is potentially
blinding status of the trial statistician impacts	beneficial to blind the trial statistician <b>and</b> involve
on interactions between the trial team and	a non-blinded statistician who conducts analysis
the DMC.	by allocation (but who is otherwise independent
	of the trial).
	However, it is vitally important that the non-
	blinded statistician has sufficient experience and
	knowledge of the trial and methods to attend
	meetings and support the DMC in providing
	adequate oversight for the trial.
	Without suitable mitigation, maintaining the blind
	of the trial statistician may pose more of a risk to
	the integrity of the trial (e.g., through suboptimal
	oversight and data monitoring) than unblinding
	the trial statistician at an appropriate point (for
	instance, after approval of the statistical analysis
	plan).
	As previously noted by DAMOCLES* the benefit of
	a statistician independent of the trial is that it

maintains the principle of keeping blind all those involved with the trial. However, they also note that analysis often requires knowledge of the disease, the trial and detailed aspects of data collection. This potential loss of insight means that this approach is not recommended in general. 2.3) Blinding the trial statistician and DMC The presentation of coded treatment groups to members by presentation of coded groups the DMC is often not a robust method of blinding may promote ineffective or inefficient (for instance, depending on the safety profile of oversight of the trial. the intervention or the nature of other data provided). Coded groups can also promote 'blinded' members of the DMC to consciously or unconsciously guess the true allocation. As noted by DAMCOLES\* there are a number of issues with blinding the DMC in this way and, crucially, the practice is unlikely to improve participant safety.

<sup>\*</sup> Grant AM, Altman DG, Babiker AB, Campbell MK, Clemens FJ, Darbyshire JH, et al. Issues in data monitoring and interim analysis of trials. Health Technol Assess. 2005;9(7):1-238.

Section 3: Study design

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
3.1) There is greater risk associated with not	For example: Where the primary analysis is
blinding the trial statistician where there is	intention-to-treat (ITT) there is little risk of
subjectivity in the selection of analysis sets.	the statistician influencing the inclusion or
	exclusion of participants from the analysis.
	For analysis that involve selecting a subset of
	randomised participants there is a greater risk
	of bias.
3.2) It is not always appropriate or feasible to	For example: when there is an unequal
blind the trial statistician using coded groups.	allocation ratio or a distinctive side effect
	profile.
	As noted above and by DAMOCLES coded
	groups are often not a robust or effective
	method of blinding.
3.3) For open label studies, there is potentially	While the trial statistician is usually the only
less benefit to maintaining the blind of the trial	member of the trial team aware of
statistician.	accumulating data, when other members of
	the trial management team are unblinded,
	this weakens the argument for maintaining
	the blind of the trial statistician.
3.4) For a feasibility trial, depending on the aims	Where the primary aim of a feasibility study is
of the study, it may be less beneficial to blind the	to demonstrate, for example, feasibility of
trial statistician.	recruitment, the risk of bias arising from an
	unblinded statistician is likely minimal.
3.5) It may not be necessary or advantageous to	For example, in a platform trial or a multi-arm
maintain the blind of the trial statistician for an	multi-stage design, it might be necessary to
adaptive trial where interventions may be added	report the findings for several interventions
or dropped throughout the study.	sequentially, requiring the trial statistician to
	be unblinded. Blinding may also be

impractical due to, for example, differential
recruitment or duration of treatment.

Guidance for blinding statisticians within clinical trials v1.1 28-Sep-2022

Section 4: Types of intervention

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
4.1) Depending on the type of interventions,	For example, an intervention may have a
there may be additional challenges and	distinctive side-effect profile, require
barriers to blinding the trial statistician.	intervention-specific data collection, or impact on
	other data (e.g. biomarkers). If access to these
	data is not restricted, then they may potentially
	unblind the trial statistician.
4.2) For low-risk interventions, unblinding the	For trials where a DMC is not required and
statistician before the end of the trial may	disaggregate data are not required for monitoring
not be necessary.	safety, unblinding the trial statistician before the
	final analysis may not be necessary.

Section 5: Type of outcomes

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
5.1) If the trial statistician is likely to become	This risk can be mitigated by detailed pre-
unblinded during the trial, there may be a	specification of the derivations in the statistical
greater risk of the trial statistician introducing	analysis plan.
bias for outcomes which are complex-to-	
derive or involve combining data from	For trials involving data-linkage and combining
multiple sources.	data from multiple sources, it may be necessary
	to include additional details of how data will be
	combined (for example, how discrepancies are
	managed) in a separate document to the SAP,
	which should also be approved prior to
	unblinding the trial statistician.
	Where the statistician is blinded, there may be an
	increased risk of error in the derivations – this risk
	can be mitigated by independent programming
	by a second statistician.

5.2) Where ongoing analysis of safety
outcomes by allocation is necessary, it might
not be appropriate to blind the trial
statistician.

If the trial statistician is to be unblinded it is
important that the statistical analysis plan is
approved prior to unblinding.

If the trial statistician is to be blinded, it is
advisable to have another statistician with
sufficient experience and knowledge of the trial
to take on this role.

Section 6: Additional roles and responsibilities

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
6.1) When considering the practicalities of	These other roles and responsibilities might
blinding the trial statistician, consider	include analysis of sub-studies, analysis of safety
whether any of the other	data, or monitoring treatment adherence.
roles/responsibilities of the trial statistician	
might potentially necessitate the statistician	If the statistician is to be unblinded, it is
being unblinded.	important that the statistical analysis plan is
	approved prior to unblinding.
	If the trial statistician is to be blinded, it is
	advisable to have another statistician or another
	team to take responsibility for those roles.
6.2) If the trial statistician is to have primary	In many cases, access to these data is likely to
responsibility for monitoring treatment	lead to de-facto unblinding.
adherence, it is likely to be beneficial or	
perhaps necessary for them to be unblinded.	Even where it is not essential to have knowledge
	of treatment allocation the statistician is likely to
	benefit from the additional insight afforded by
	awareness of treatment allocation.
6.3) Effective data cleaning and monitoring	
may require knowledge of randomised	
allocation. If the trial statistician has primary	

responsibility for these tasks, it may be more	
beneficial for them to be unblinded.	
6.4) If the trial statistician is responsible for	The generated list should be stored securely with
producing the randomisation list/codes, then	restricted access to prevent the trial statistician
it is recommended a second statistician	becoming unblinded. It is vitally important that
implements the code and sets the random	the custodian of the allocation lists is not directly
seed.	or indirectly involved in recruitment of
	participants.

#### Section 7: Practicalities

Consideration/recommendation	Explanation
7.1) The resources required to maintain the	Blinding the trial statistician requires resource
blind of the trial statistician need to be	and so the benefits need to outweigh the
proportionate to the perceived benefit to	potential disadvantages.
justify blinding the statistician.	
7.2) If the trial statistician is to be blinded, it	For example, access to allocation and other
is essential that rigorous processes are in	potentially unblinding data is restricted to non-
place to maintain blinding.	blinded statisticians or other trial team members.
	There should also be clear documentation,
	document history, and audit trail for a blinded
	statistician to access/request allocation data or
	unblinding datasets.

#### Models for DMC interaction

The table below summarises the different models for DMC interaction, the risk associated with each and suggestions to mitigate the risk.

Model	Risk	Mitigation	Comments
Trial statistician (TS) unblinded.	Bias, or the perception of bias, caused by a member of the trial team being unblinded.	Approve SAP prior to unblinding.  Strictly limit the role of the TS in decision making (e.g., issues affecting the protocol or SAP) following unblinding.	Where applicable, clearly document in the SAP and/or protocol (who was unblinded and when)
TS and DMC both "blinded" using coded groups (pseudoblinding).	The presentation of coded treatment groups to the DMC is often not a robust method of blinding.  Blinding of the DMC potentially hampers effective and accurate decision making.	Ensure there is an efficient and robust method for unblinding the DMC members where necessary.  (e.g. provide a sealed envelope with the treatment decodes).	As noted by DAMCOLES there are a number of issues with blinding the DMC in this way and, crucially, the practice is unlikely to improve participant safety.
TS blinded and descriptive disaggregate data/information provided by another team (e.g. data management or programmers).	Limited dialogue between the TS and the DMC, leading to potential for suboptimal or delayed decision- making owing to lack of insight.	Where possible, encourage dialogue within the open session, while maintaining the blind of the TS.	Only possible where descriptive data or information is provided to the DMC. Not possible if more advanced statistical analysis is required.  Caution required to avoid inadvertently unblinding the TS.
Programs provided to the independent statistician on the DMC by blinded TS. The DMC statistician then creates the report using allocation data (provided separately).	Limited dialogue between the TS and the DMC, leading to potential for suboptimal or delayed decision- making owing to lack of insight.  Independent statistician unlikely to have detailed knowledge of trial conduct/progress.	within the open session,	Caution required to avoid inadvertently

Blinded TS with report generated by a non-	Limited dialogue between the TS and the	· ·	Caution required to avoid inadvertently
,			l
blinded statistician.	DMC, leading to	within the open session,	unblinding the 15.
	potential for suboptimal	while maintaining the	
	or delayed decision-	blind of the TS.	
	making owing to lack of		
	insight.	Ensure that the non-	
		blinded statistician has	
	Non-blinded statistician	suitable experience and	
	may not possess the	knowledge of the trial.	
	same detailed		
	knowledge of trial		
	conduct/progress.		

## Benefits, risks and mitigation strategies to blind or not blind statisticians

Based on our analysis for the participants' perceptions in the focus groups, Table 1 below summarises the benefits, risks, processes and suggested mitigation strategies for blinding and not blinding statisticians.

Blinding	Not blinding	
Benefits		
TS can contribute freely to trial	Permits understanding of data in	
management/protocol discussions.	context and more insightful input to	
Enhancing credibility and quality of the	the trial ( $e.g.$ , clinical and safety	
trial by decreasing the possibility or	decisions or stop/go decisions).	
perception of unconscious bias.	<ul> <li>Facilitates more insightful</li> </ul>	
SAP can be authored, reviewed, and	conversations (with the DMC or with	
revised without a potential risk of	the TMG in an open-label study).	
introducing bias in the planned analysis.	Decreases the risk of sub-optimal and	
TS can oversee or conduct day-to-day	delayed decision making and tenuous	
involvement in the trial without risk of	assumptions made about the data.	
introducing bias.	Allows the TS to effectively monitor	
Reduces the potential for performance	sample size or analysis assumptions	
bias by maintaining confidentiality with	( $e.g.$ , standard deviations and event	
people who do not know the emerging	rates by group).	
results (e.g., CI(s), PIs, TMG members,	Greater insight into the data leading to	
co-investigators, treating clinicians).	higher quality analysis.	
Reduces the possibility of pressure on	Permits more efficient and confident	
statisticians to reveal findings (whether	decision making.	
inadvertent or deliberate).	Increases the ability to react more	
	quickly and appropriately when safety	
	issues arise.	

Blinding	Not blinding
Harm/Risk	
Risk that maintaining the blind makes	TS may introduce bias by allowing
the trial processes unnecessarily	knowledge of allocations to influence
inefficient, especially in open-label	trial conduct (e.g., through interaction
trials.	with TMG/TSC).
Some data may need to be concealed	TS may allow knowledge of allocation
from the TS to prevent unblinding	to influence the analysis (e.g., through
which may negatively impact data	choice of analysis populations).
processing.	
Inefficient or less effective oversight of	
the data if TS is not able to participate	
in closed session or in meaningful	
dialogue with the DMC.	
<ul> <li>Pseudo-blinding (using coded groups)</li> </ul>	
can lead to less effective and inefficient	
oversight or monitoring.	
<ul> <li>Lack of understanding and insight into</li> </ul>	
the trial context that might negatively	
impact the conduct and final analysis.	

Blinding	Not blinding	
Mitigation		
Involvement of an independent non-	Approve first version of SAP prior to	
blinded statistician (with sufficient	unblinding. Clearly document any	
knowledge and experience) to	changes (and reasons for change)	
interrogate potentially unblinding data,	following approval, who made them	
analyse data by allocation, and attend	and their blinding status.	
the closed session of the DMC.	Limit TS's interaction with other groups	
Clearly document and communicate the	involved in decision making ( $e.g.$ , TSC).	
blinding status of different roles within	Keep unblinded and blinded members	
the trial to guard against unintentional	of the trial team separate.	
unblinding of blinded team members.	Clearly document and communicate	
	the blinding status of different roles	
	within the trial to guard against	
	unintentional unblinding of blinded	
	team members.	
	Training for the unblinded TS not to	
	reveal either knowledge of allocation	
	or accumulating results.	

l,
١,
1
-
G
or S,

TS – Trial Statistician